

University of Groningen

To preserve the family or not to preserve the family; that's the question

Vischer, Anne-Fleur; Grietens, Hans; Knorth, Erik J.; Post, Wendy J.

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Publication date:
2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Vischer, A-F., Grietens, H., Knorth, E. J., & Post, W. J. (2016). *To preserve the family or not to preserve the family; that's the question*. Paper presented at EUSARF 2016, Oviedo, Spain.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy


If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

To preserve the family,
or not to preserve the family:
That is the question.

Anne-Fleur Vischer
a.vischer@rug.nl

Hans Grietens
Erik J. Knorth
Wendy J. Post





0,1,2 years
Multi-problem sit.



Expertise Center for
Treatment and
Assessment of
Parenting and
Psychiatry ¹

Intake
Pre-phase
Clinical phase
Advice on FP
Aftercare

Solution Focused Therapy
Mentalization Based Family Therapy



0,1,2 years
Multi-problem sit.

Expertise Center for
Treatment and
Assessment of
Parenting and
Psychiatry ¹



Intake
Pre-phase
Clinical phase
Advice on FP
Aftercare

Solution Focused Therapy
Mentalization Based Family Therapy

Evaluation study
Target group
Parenting
6-month follow-up

Decision-making on
family preservation
(FP)²

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36
4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48
5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72
7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70	77	84
8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96
9	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	99	108
10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120



Admission → Intake → Intervention



May'13 - Oct '14
File complete
N=70

Admission form
Psych. reports
Evaluations
Intake report

Coding system³
>100 variables
3 coders

**The same or not
the same: that is
the question⁴**



**Family
preservation
(FP)**

N = 30

**No family
preservation
(NFP)**

N = 27

FP



NFP



FAMILY LEVEL		FP (n=30)		NFP (n=27)	
		n	%	n	%
Problem area					
	Parenting abilities	30	100	27	100
	Parent-child interaction	14	46.7	12	44.4
→	Partner relation	27	90.0	20	74.1*
	Housing	28	93.3	23	85.2
	Financial	25	83.3	22	81.5
→	Social network	29	96.7	23	85.2*
	Professional network	19	63.3	20	74.1
	Pregnancy	21	70.0	20	74.1
	# Problem areas family level	M 6.57	SD 1.19	M 6.48	SD 1.64
Type of service use					
	Parenting	30	100	27	100
→	Psychological (incl. addiction)	26	86.7	19	70.4*
	Practical	29	96.7	27	100
	Crisis	13	43.3	13	48.1
→	Probation	11	36.7	3	11.1***
	# Types of service use	M 3.63	SD .92	M 3.30	SD .72 ^a
Parent characteristics		30		27	
→	Age first becoming parent n=27, n=24	M 21.76	SD 5.28	M 25.44	SD 5.34 ^b
	# psychological problems	M 1.92	SD .90	M 2.20	SD .91
	# problem areas	M 4.13	SD 1.30	M 4.43	SD 1.21
	# types trauma childhood	M 1.98	SD 1.10	M 1.40	SD .76
	# Problem areas all family members	M 16.30	SD 3.09	M 16.44	SD 4.37

PARENT LEVEL		Mothers				Fathers			
		FP (n=25)		NFP (n=28)		FP (n=23)		NFP (n=21)	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Problem areas									
	Physical	10	34.5	8	30.8	6	26.1	9	42.9
	Incarceration	1	3.4			10	43.5	6	28.6
→	Services	15	51.7	20	76.9**	10	43.5	13	61.9
	Trauma	17	58.6	17	65.4	11	47.8	10	47.6
	Substance abuse	12	41.4	6	23.1	15	65.2	11	52.4
→	Intellectual disability	11	37.9	17	65.4**	10	43.5	13	61.9
	DSM classification	20	69.0	20	76.9	12	52.2	14	66.7
	Clear	5	17.2	6	23.1	5	21.7	3	14.3
	Unclear	18	62.1	16	61.5	9	39.1	12	57.1
	Psychological	28	96.6	26	100.0	22	95.7	20	95.2
	Insight problems	13	44.8	15	57.7	9	39.1	13	61.9
→	Emotion regulation	18	62.1	17	65.4	18	78.3	11	52.4*
→	Int. problems	19	65.5	22	84.6*	12	52.2	13	61.9
	Mood swings	7	24.1	8	30.8			1	4.8
	Adverse childhood exp.	27	93.1	23	88.5	18	78.3	14	66.7
	Abuse and/or neglect	17	58.6	10	38.5	4	17.4	4	19.0
	Abuse	10	34.5	7	26.9	1	4.3		
→	Neglect	15	51.7	4	15.4**	4	17.4	4	19.0
	Problems rel. to parents	12	41.1	8	30.8	6	26.1	3	14.3
	Problems rel. to self	24	82.8	22	84.6	18	78.3	13	61.9

CHILD LEVEL		FP (n=30)		NFP (n=23)	
		n	%	n	%
Placement at admission					
	With parents	8	26.7	5	21.7
	With parents under superv.	5	16.7	3	13.0
	Without bio. Parents	17	56.7	15	65.2
	# placements caretaker n=27,23	M .74	SD .90	M .96	SD 1.39
	# placing living arrang.n=22,21	M 1.18	SD 1.14	M 1.38	SD2.22
Problem areas (at least one)		29	96.7	21	91.3
→	Emotion	17	56.7	5	21.7***
	Behavior	10	33.3	10	43.5
	Cognition	2	6.7	3	13.0
	Physical	24	80.0	17	73.9
	Adverse/traumatic exp.	25	83.3	19	82.6
	Witness of domestic violence	13	43.3	8	34.8
	Physical neglect	14	46.7	11	47.8
	Emotional neglect	16	53.3	10	43.5
→	Physical abuse	9	30.0	2	8.7**
	Emotional abuse	3	10.0	2	8.7
	Violence during pregnancy	6	20.0	4	17.4
	Child-abuse, type unknown			2	8.7
	Child abuse, presumed	2	6.7		
	Other traumatic event(s)	6	20.0	7	30.4
	# types of child abuse	M 2.27	SD 1.68	M 1.96	SD 1.36
# problem areas		M 2.6	SD 1.07	M 2.35	SD 1.43

FP families >
partner-relation,
social network

FP mothers <
services,
intellectual
disability, intern.
problems



FP families >
partner-relation,
social network

FP mothers <
services,
intellectual
disability, intern.
problems



FP parents
younger
when
becoming
parent

FP mothers >
neglect in
childhood

FP families >
partner-relation,
social network

FP mothers <
services,
intellectual
disability, intern.
problems



FP parents
younger
when
becoming
parent

FP families >
service use:
psychological,
probation

FP children >
emotional problems,
physical abuse

FP mothers >
neglect in
childhood



What is your question?



References

- ¹ Vischer, A.W.K., Grietens, H., Knorth, E.J., & Mulder, H. (2016). Assessing parenting in the context of reunification of infants and toddlers and their families: How to face the challenges? Manuscript accepted for publication in *Infant Mental Health Journal*.
- ² Baumann, D.J., Fluke, J.D., Dalglish, L., & Kern, H. (2013). The decision making ecology. In A. Shlonsky, & R. Benbenishty (eds.), *From evidence to outcomes in child welfare: An international reader* (pp. 24-40). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- ³ Konijn, C., Bruinsma, W., Lekkerkerker, L., De Wilde, E. J., & Eijgenraam, K. (2009). Ontwikkeling en onderzoek naar het Classificatiesysteem Aard Problematiek Jeugdzorg (CAP-J) [Development and evaluation of a taxonomy to classify types of problems in youth care]. Utrecht, the Netherlands: Nederlands Jeugdinstituut.
- Reith, W., Hofman, R., Stams, G.J.J.M., & Van Yperen, T.A. (2008). Hulpverleningsdoelen categoriseren met de Doelenboom. Ontwikkeling van een categorieënsysteem voor hulpverleningsdoelen in een jeugdzorginstelling [Categorizing treatment goals with the Goaltree. Development of a taxonomy for treatment goals in a youth care organisation]. *Kind en Adolescent*, 29(4), 221-234.
- ⁴ Trentacosta, C. J., Hyde, L. W., Shaw, D. S., Dishion, T. J., Gardner, F., & Wilson, M. (2008). The relations among cumulative risk, parenting, and behavior problems during early childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49, 1211-1219.